The Global Detention Project (GDP) is an independent research centre based in Geneva, Switzerland, that investigates the use of detention as a response to international immigration. Its objectives are to improve transparency in the treatment of detainees, to encourage adherence to fundamental norms, to reinforce advocacy aimed at reforming detention practices, and to promote scholarship of immigration control regimes.

Migrant-Rights.org is a GCC-based advocacy platform working to advance the rights of migrant workers. It aims to change perspectives towards migrant workers by improving access to information on migration in the Gulf region and its migration corridors and promoting informed, local discussion on migration issues. Both off and online, Migrant-Rights.org engages residents, local businesses, and employers to challenge perspectives towards migrants and improve working conditions for some of the region’s most vulnerable workers.

The two organisations welcome the opportunity to provide information relevant to the consideration of the Combined eighteenth and twenty-first periodic reports of the United Arab Emirates to CERD with respect to the implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.¹ This submission concerns issues specifically related to immigration detention, or the detention of foreigners for reasons related to their non-citizen status.

Issues concerning immigration detention²

The Combined eighteenth and twenty-first periodic reports of the United Arab Emirates to CERD make various references to the situation of migrant workers including domestic workers and describe some "protection" mechanisms (for

² This Submission is based in part on data published on the GDP website, “United Arab Emirates Immigration Detention Profile,” (available at https://www.globaldetentionproject.org/countries/middle-east/united-arab-emirates) as well as on reports by Migrants-Right.org, available at (https://www.migrant-rights.org/).
wages, against withholding of passports and against exploitation). However, despite the unique demographic composition in the UAE, the reports make no reference to issues of persons placed in detention based on their immigration status and on deportation. Likewise the 2009 CERD Concluding observations of the twelfth to seventeenth periodic reports of the UAE made no reference to these issues.

**Immigration detention context**

The seven small semi-autonomous principalities of the United Arab Emirates have one of the highest ratios of foreigners in the world with expatriates representing roughly 90 percent of the total population and 95 percent of the workforce. This unique demographic imbalance is also starkly reflected in the country’s prison population. According to the World Prison Brief, as of 2014 (the most recent year for which statistics are available), 87.8 percent of the country’s prisoners were foreigners. However, aside from penal imprisonment there is nearly no information about where and in what conditions migrants are held for immigration related detention after they are arrested or as they await deportation. The reason for this gap is the government’s effort to limit access by rights actors to detention centres and the virtual non-existence of independent civil society.

Similar to other countries of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), foreign workers enter the UAE through the *kafala* sponsorship scheme which ties workers’ visas to their employers. Though both highly-skilled/high-wage workers and low-wage workers have migrated to the UAE, it is particularly the low-wage workers employed in certain industries—such as construction, services, and domestic work—who are most susceptible to exploitation under the *kafala* scheme. As many human rights groups have reported, foreign workers who leave their employer “can be prosecuted for ‘absconding’ and punished with fines, imprisonment, and deportation.” Former detainees have argued that they were falsely charged with absconding.

**Laws, Policies, and Practices**

According to Article 26 of the UAE Constitution, “Personal liberty is guaranteed to all citizens. No person may be arrested, searched, detained or imprisoned except in accordance with the provisions of law. No person shall be subjected to torture or to degrading treatment.” Article 40 reads: “Foreigners shall enjoy, within the Union, the rights and freedom stipulated in international charters which are in force or in treaties and agreements to which the Union is party. They shall be subject to the corresponding obligations.” Article 344 of the Penal Code reads: “Whoever illegally kidnaps, arrests, detains or deprives a person of his freedom, whether by himself or
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3 Rothna Begum (Human Rights Watch), Email Correspondence with Michael Flynn (Global Detention Project), 5-10 February 2015.


through another by any means without lawful justification, shall be punished by term imprisonment.” Punishment can be up to life imprisonment.  

These strong safeguards notwithstanding, there appears to be a wide gap between law and policy in the country. Arbitrary arrests, incommunicado detentions, and lengthy pretrial detentions of dissenting citizens and noncitizens alike are commonplace in the UAE.  

Grounds for detention and criminalisation. Federal Law No (13) of 1996 Concerning "Aliens Entry and Residence," which amends provisions of Federal Law No (6) of 1973 relating to immigration and residence (hereafter Federal Law No. 6 on Entry and Residence of Aliens), prescribes detention in certain circumstances, including to execute a deportation or as punishment for violating immigration provisions. 

Aliens can be detained for up to three months for failing to maintain a valid residence permit; failing to leave the country after cancellation or expiry of an entry or residence permit; or failing to pay overstay fines (Article 21 of Federal Law No. 6 on Entry and Residence of Aliens). The Department of Nationality and Immigration is authorized to order the deportation of any alien who does not have a residence permit or who has not renewed his permit in accordance with legal requirements (Article 29).

In addition, the Minister of Interior is authorized to detain any foreigner against whom a deportation order has been issued for a period not exceeding two weeks, but only if the detention is essential for executing the deportation order (Article 25). The Minister may order the deportation of a non-national—even if holding a residence permit—if convicted and the court has issued an order for his deportation; if he has no apparent means of living; or if the security authorities see that public interest or public security or public morals require his deportation (Article 23).

Under Article 26 as amended by Federal Decree - Law no. 7 of 13 November 2007, the Ministry of Interior is to bear the costs if the foreigner cannot cover the expense of deportation. Article 28 provides that anyone ordered deported may not return to the UAE, except with special permission from the Minister of Interior.

Article 31 provides that anyone who enters the UAE illegally shall be imprisoned for a period of "not less than one month" and/or pay a fine of “not less than 1,000 Dirham” (approximately $270), followed by deportation ordered by the court. Article 35, a catch-all general provision, states that any person who violates the provisions
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of this law or related regulations shall be punished with imprisonment for a period not less than one month and a fine of no less than one thousand Dirham (approximately $270).

**Length of detention.** Migrants and refugees can remain in detention anywhere from a month to more than a year. Some of the factors that can prolong detention include: difficulties getting passport/travel documents (especially for those whose passports are held by sponsors who will not return them); procuring the funds to pay overstay fees; waiting for a clearance or “no objection letter” from local police before leaving (which can be delayed if there are claims against a worker for theft, or if the migrant has any debts from loans); and in case of refugees, waiting until a resettlement country accepts them.

According to Migrants-Rights.org there are “many devastating stories of Syrians and Palestinians. These are the ones that authorities do not know where to deport them to, so they are kept for open periods of time.”

**Adherence to international norms.** The UAE has only ratified half of the core international human rights treaties. It has not ratified the main instruments relevant to protection against arbitrary detention, including the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the Migrant Workers Convention. Upon ratification of the Convention against Torture, the UAE made a reservation limiting the definition of torture provided for in Article 1. In response, over a dozen states parties to the Convention registered official objections to this reservation with the UN Secretariat for being “incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention.”

**Trafficked persons.** All forms of human trafficking are forbidden under federal law Number 51 of 2006, which provides penalties ranging from one year to life in prison as well as fines and deportation. The law does not include protection from detention for victims of trafficking.

The UN Special Rapporteur on Trafficking visited the UAE in 2012 and received allegations of arbitrary arrests and deportation of women and girls who were not provided interpreters, detained in overcrowded rooms, and deported within 24 to 36 hours. The Rapporteur expressed concern that “trafficked persons may often be misidentified as irregular migrants and consequently, arrested, detained and summarily deported” and she recommended that victims should not be criminalised or penalised, or detained for status-related offences.
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**Minors.** The privately owned Gulf News produced a video report in 2013 from inside the Dubai Women’s Central Jail in Al Aweer, which showed detained women with their children. This one of a handful of prisons in the UAE that reportedly has a deportation function, thus we conclude that it is highly likely that accompanied children slated for deportation with their mothers are detained at the facility.16

**Access to detainees.** The UAE has neglected to provide international human rights organisations access to facilities that are used to detain people for immigration-related reasons. In its 2014 report on abuses suffered by female domestic labourers in the country, HRW reported: “Due to the failure of the UAE authorities to respond, Human Rights Watch was unable to visit the Ewa’a Shelters, the deportation center, and prisons. The Dubai Foundation for Women and Children said they were unable to arrange a visit to their shelter. Human Rights Watch cannot, therefore, verify the circumstances in which shelters admit foreign domestic workers or assess their conditions and treatment in shelters or when detained pending deportation or in prisons.”17

Consular representatives have also expressed problems attempting to visit facilities. In a report on human rights in the UAE, the U.S. State Department reported that diplomatic representatives were “refused entry to the Dubai Immigration Detention Center by the deputy director of the center to talk to with potential sex trafficking victims awaiting deportation.” In more recent reports on the UAE, the U.S. State Department reported that the government had begun permitting civil society groups to visit prisons, though it did not explicitly mention immigration detainees. The GDP has been unable to get first-hand accounts from any other sources who may have visited detention facilities or prisons in the UAE.

Detained foreigners have also accused authorities of preventing their family members from visiting them.18

**Foreign workers.** The status of foreign workers in the UAE is governed by Federal Law No. 6 on Entry and Residence of Aliens as well as the labour law, Federal Law No. 8 of 1980. A new draft law for domestic workers is pending, however it would stop short of including them under labour law.

Like other countries of the GCC, many households in the UAE employ female migrant workers as domestic servants. An International Labour Organisation (ILO) study on domestic workers in the UAE estimates that each household employs an average of three domestic workers, with most coming from the Philippines.
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Indonesia, Sri Lanka, India, and Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{20} As domestic workers are not covered in labour laws (Article 3 of Law No. 8 of 1980 specifically exempts “domestic workers working in private residences”), and as they work in the isolation of the private household, they are particularly vulnerable to abusive work conditions and exploitation. Domestic workers interviewed for the ILO study expressed feeling that they were completely controlled, isolated, and subject to demeaning treatment. The report fails to provide any details regarding potential detention of runaway domestic workers. There are some 750,000 domestic workers in the UAE. They estimated to comprise 20\% of the total expat workforce and outnumber family members in 22\% of Emirati families.\textsuperscript{21}

**Conditions of detention\textsuperscript{22}**

GDP has information indicating that there are at least seven facilities in the UAE that have been used in recent years for immigration-related detention and that only one of these facilities—the Ajman Immigration Office—is immigration-only. All of the other facilities appear to combine criminal incarceration with immigration functions. And of these, only two, the Sharjah Jail for Men and the Al Sadr Prison, appear to have some system for segregating migrant detainees from the rest of the prison population. In addition at least one of these facilities, the Dubai Central Jail for Women in Al Aweer, detains accompanied children alongside their mothers.

There are few current reports detailing conditions of detention facilities. According to the U.S. State Department’s 2014 Country Reports on Human Rights Practices prison conditions in the UAE vary widely. There were “unconfirmed reports that police and prison guards mistreated individuals” and in Dubai prisoners “reported poor sanitary conditions, inadequate lighting, and poor temperature control. Some prisons were reportedly overcrowded, especially in Abu Dhabi and Dubai.” The U.S. State Department also reports that “Ombudsmen cannot serve on behalf of prisoners and detainees. Prisoners had a right to submit complaints to judicial authorities; however, details about investigations into complaints were not publicly available.”

One former detainee told Migrant-rights.org, "In packed cells, many migrants are not informed of the charges levied against them. Iyad met prisoners who had signed papers after their arrest, entirely unaware of their content because the papers were in Arabic and they were not accorded access to translators. Many were falsely reported as “absconding” by their sponsors, a strategy sponsors frequently use to punish workers for legitimate complaints or avoid their responsibilities as kafeels."

According to a Migrant-rights.org report in 2015, a former immigration detainee explained that there is a “racial hierarchy in deportation” and “the worst thing to be in a deportation prison is South Asian and the worst of all is to be Bangladeshi.” During this witness’ detention he observed that “prison blocks were divided


according to nationality” and in his cell “there were only Arabs; many were long-
term or even life-long residents of the UAE, but many were ‘visa violators’ or had pending ‘labor disputes.’” “If one cell takes 10 men, the Bangladeshi migrants would be 50 to a cell.” Indian and Filipino migrants are reportedly better treated as their embassies make regular visits to their nationals in detention. In general, convicts are treated better than immigration detainees.”

Key priorities for the United Arab Emirates with regards to immigration detention:

- To ensure that people are not tortured or mistreated while in detention;
- To provide public statistics on the practice, scope and conditions of immigration detention;
- To ensure that trafficked persons are identified and not criminalised and placed in immigration detention;
- To ensure that women and their children slated for deportation are not detained and establish non-custodial, effective and accessible alternatives to detention;
- To ensure that domestic workers are not treated as “runaways” when fleeing from abusive working conditions; prosecuted for “absconding”; and punished with fines, imprisonment, and deportation;
- To ensure that detention is imposed only where it is necessary and proportionate in the person’s individual circumstances;
- To ensure that detention is maintained for the shortest time possible;
- To ensure that detention is reviewed by a judicial organ automatically and in regular periods;
- To ensure that immigration detainees are segregated from common law prisoners;
- To ensure access to immigration detainees by consular authorities;
- To ensure that ombudsmen can monitor the situation of immigration detainees and receive and investigate complaints;
- To ensure access to immigration detainees by family members and civil society groups;
- To ensure that there is no racial hierarchy of persons placed in immigration detention;
- To put an end to overcrowding.
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